

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Nickolas Furio
DOCKET NO.: 05-20320.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-21-100-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nickolas Furio, the appellant, by attorney Joanne P. Elliott of Elliott & Associates in Des Plaines, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a sixteen-year-old, two-story, average condition, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 6,500 square feet of living area and located in Barrington Township, Cook County. Features of the residence include three and one-half bathrooms, air-conditioning, a full-finished basement, two fireplaces and a three-car attached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on five properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a two-page brief, photographs of the subject and the suggested comparables and a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the five suggested comparables consist of two-story, single-family dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 5,032 to 8,502 square feet of living area and range in age from six to sixteen years. The comparables contain from two and one-half to four full bathrooms, air-conditioning, two fireplaces, a finished or unfinished basement and a three-car or four-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$7.62 to \$10.12 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted, the

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,593
IMPR.: \$ 83,720
TOTAL: \$ 92,313

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5751

appellant requested a total assessment of \$68,913, with an improvement assessment of \$60,320 or \$9.28 per square foot of living area and a land assessment to remain unchanged at \$8,593.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$92,313. The subject's improvement assessment is \$83,720 or \$12.88 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, single-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,921 to 7,000 square feet of living area and range in age from four to seventeen years. The comparables contain from one and one-half to six full bathrooms, from one to three fireplaces, air-conditioning, a multi-car garage and a full-finished or unfinished basement. Two comparables are in above average condition. The improvement assessments range from \$13.65 to \$17.83 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney submitted a one-page letter highlighting various differences between the subject and the board of review's comparables.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties submitted a total of eight properties similar to the subject in age and design but with variations in living area, condition and/or exterior construction. These eight properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$7.62 to \$17.83 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$12.88 falls within the range established by these properties. The Board finds of the eight comparables offered by the parties, five vary significantly from the subject in living area, two vary in condition and three vary in type of construction. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared


to the subject, the Board finds the evidence submitted by both parties does not support a change in the subject's current assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.


This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.